

Due: see Organizational Info

Problem [1]. Given are two symmetric positive definite matrices (gramians) $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Consider the matrices $PQ, P^{\frac{1}{2}}QP^{\frac{1}{2}}, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}PQ^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the pair of matrices (P, Q^{-1}) . Show that the eigenvalues of the first three matrices and the generalized eigenvalues of the pair, are the same, and positive. Verify this in case the matrices are as in the previous homework assignment, namely:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 6 & -2 \\ 6 & 6 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvectors of each of the three matrices as well as the generalized eigenvectors of the given pair, and the relationships between them.

Problem [2]. (1) Find a balancing transformation for the 6th order continuous-time Butterworth filter, which we will denote by Σ . Hence compute the reduced systems of order $\hat{\Sigma}_k, k = 2, 4$, obtained by balanced truncation. (2) Find a transformation of the same system that will make all states equally reachable, i.e. $P = I_6$. Hence compute the reduced systems $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$, of order $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, obtained by truncation of the resulting realization. (3) Compare $\Sigma, \hat{\Sigma}_k, \tilde{\Sigma}_k, k = 2, 4$, (i) by computing their poles and zeros, and (ii) by plotting the frequency response (amplitude Bode plots) of the original and all reduced models. ■

Sample problems from past tests (will not be graded).

The following systems are given:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 : A_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_1 = [0, 1] \\ \Sigma_2 : A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_2 = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \Sigma_3 : A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_3 = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \Sigma_4 : A_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & -1 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, C_4 = [1, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Problem [3]. (a) Is there a transformation T_1 which will transform system Σ_1 to system Σ_2 ? Is there a transformation T_2 which will transform system Σ_1 to system Σ_3 ? Is there a transformation T_3 which will transform system Σ_2 to system Σ_3 ? If the answer is yes to any of the above questions, find the corresponding transformation. If the answer is no, explain why not.

(b) Compute the Hankel singular values of Σ_1 and hence determine the balanced representation of this system. Subsequently compute the reduced system of dimension one determined by eliminating the state which is most difficult to reach and observe. ■

Problem [4]. Given are the matrices $K = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Find the generalized eigenvalues of the pair (K, L) . Subsequently, by means of the simultaneous diagonalization procedure find a transformation T such that

$$(TKT^*)(TLT^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

■

Problem [5]. Consider the system Σ_4 .

(a) Compute the infinite reachability and the infinite observability grammians, and hence determine a balanced representation of this system.

(b) Furthermore compute a representation where all states are equally observable. In this representation, reduce the system to dimension one by eliminating the state which is most difficult to reach.

■